Online Available at: https://sciplatform.com/index.php/wib/article/view/692

ISSN (Online) = 2522-6754 ISSN (Print) = 2522-6746

WORLD JOURNAL OF BIOLOGY AND BIOTECHNOLOGY

**Research Manuscript** 

Production and evaluation of extruded products from decorticated maize (Zea mays L.) flour supplemented with decorticated selected legume flour

<sup>a</sup> Shereen Mohammed Awad, <sup>b</sup> Abdelmoneim Ibrahim Mustafa, <sup>b</sup> Nabila Elamir Yousif, <sup>a</sup> Esameldin B. M. Kabbashi

<sup>a</sup> National Food Research Centre, Grain Technology Department, Khartoum Sudan,

www.sciplatform.com

Authors

<sup>b</sup> Department of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Khartoum, Khartoum Sudan, Awad, S. MA., A. I. Mustafa, N. E. Yousif and E. B. M. Kabbashi collecting the data of the extrusion and analyzing them.

Contribution

OPEN

ABSTRACT **Review Proccess: Peer review** 

\*Corresponding Author's Email Address: jaddo009@st.ug.edu.gh Maize (Zea mays L.) is an important staple food in Africa where in Sudan it's a prospective crop. The nutritional value of this crop needs some fortification to compensate for some nutritional deficiencies. The results obtained from decorticated maize flour supplemented with different ratios of decorticated faba bean (Vicia faba L.) (dfb) and decorticated cow pea (Vigna unguiculata) (dcp) on extrusion products indicated an increase in protein content of 2.1 % (from 13.86 to 15.96%) in dfb and (from 12.77 to 14.87%) in dcp. The results indicate that tannins and phytic acid content in extruded products were lower than in blend. Tannins content for extruded products range is 222 – 230.24 mg/100g in dfb products, and 227.68 – 233 mg/100g in dcp products compared to a range of 393.34 – 415.32 in dfb blends, and 355.74 – 379.71 mg/100g in dcp blends. Phytic acid content for extruded products range was 174.30 – 196.01 in dfb products, and 167.20 – 188.01 mg/100g in dcp products compared to 202.47 – 229.35 mg/100g in dfb blends and 198.47 – 212.88 mg/100g in dcp blends. This means that the extrusion cooking reduced antinutritional factors. Heedless, a significant ( $P \le 0.05$ ) increase in minerals content (Ca, K, P, Na, Fe and Mg) was noticed because of adding dfb and dcp to the products compared to control. Essential amino acids in test products were increased compared to the control ones. The protein quality in dfb and dcp products was improved and the lysine score increased from 15.40 to 38.42 and from 16.20 to 49.33 for in these products, respectively. Extruded samples supplemented with 5% dfb and dcp, separately, had significant ( $P \le 0.05$ ) increase in volume by 10 and 20 cm<sup>3</sup>, respectively. Sensory evaluation of extruded products showed that supplementation with 5% dfb and dcp resulted in superior products in color, taste, crispness and general acceptability. However, extruded products of dfb reflected superior quality than those of dcp. Nevertheless, the study showed that the extruded products of dfb and dcp are better than the control. Eventually, these results recommend producing extruded products from decorticated maize flour supplemented with 5% dfb or dcp in order.

Keywords: Maize, faba bean, cowpea, protein & fortification.

**INTRODUCTION:** There are many ready-to-uses (RTU) prepared imported foods used in aid programs to overcome the dilemma for a nutritious and healthy food in the developing countries including Sudan. Though they are very expensive and may not meet the requirements for the intended consumers. Snack food consumption in Sudan has been increasing. That is, because of increase in urbanization and the number of working mothers. This shift can be exploited by the food industries to fabricate nutritious snack foods. Extrusion cooking, as an attractive process for continuous food production, has been extensively developed in recent years. Direct expanded food products that include snack foods, breakfast cereals and pet foods, are produced `by extrusion cooking using high temperature short time (Rokey, 1994). Extrusion cooking technology is extensively utilized in the ready to eat (RTE) breakfast cereals industry which is time-saving and convincible method (Eastman et al., 2001). However, breakfast cereal flakes are made by extrusion and finally puffed. In addition the blends of cereals and legumes are produced by the same method since a long time ago (Jansen, 1970). Complete gelatinization of wheat flour occurred at 40% water content during cooking. However, water percentage less than that (40%) did not achieved the complete gelatinization (Guo et al., 2018). A temperature of 150 -230°C and moisture of 13 - 19% produced, almost, total gelatinization of maize flour during extrusion (Lazou et al., 2007). The extrusion temperature and moisture are directly proportional with gelatinization. The mix of corn soy extrudates are far more nutritious than the products made from the sole cereal. In spite of the fact that the benefits of extrusion are not vet authenticated but the speculations getting rid of anti-nutritional factors, gelatinization of starch, increasing soluble dietary fiber and reducing the oxidation of lipids. On the other hand, the nutritional value of proteins faces a deterioration due to Maillard reactions between proteins and sugars and this varies due to the protein type and the processing methods of extrudates (Singh et al., 2005). However, there are a lot of merits of food extrusion that include prolonging the shelf life, ideal method for producing variable available food and being economic and environmentally - friendly. Beside the mentioned benefits, this industry allows better nutritional products that increase the metabolic availability of proteins and starches and the retention of nutrients. However, the extrudates have higher safety, accessibility, and affordability in all environments (Egal and Oldewage-Theron, 2020). This study focused on the evaluation of the extrusion products of mixes of maize (Z. mays) and dfb and dcp and the pure dough of this cereal. The mixing and its evaluation as compared to the test cereal aims at upgrading the nutritious values of the

extrusion products and supporting the health of the consumers, eventually.

**OBJECTIVES:** This study was carried out to investigate the effect of adding decorticated faba bean (V. faba) (dfb) and cow pea (V. unguiculata) (dcp) flours in the ratios of 5, 10 and 15% to decorticated maize flour to produce extruded products and evaluated their nutritional values..

MATERIALS AND METHODS: White maize, faba bean and cow pea, sugar, vanilla, salt and baking powder were obtained from local market (Khartoum North). After cleaning all the samples were milled using laboratory Mill Type 120, No. 69444 Helsinki-Stockholm-Sweden and were sieved through mesh (250 um) into fine powder. The blends were prepared by adding decorticated maize flour, vanilla, salt, baking powder and sugar (control sample), then decorticated faba bean, cow pea flours were added in the ratios of 5%, 10% and 15%. Samples were prepared by adding tab water till well wetted and then extruded by a machine model DLG 90 twin screws (temperature 160 – 180°C) (Fregoun Foods Factory). Each sample was processed in the extruder for approximately 20 minutes, then cut into regular shapes. Moisture, ash, crude protein, fat, carbohydrates and mineral composition were determined for (blends and hot plate products) according to Mounika et al. (2017) method. Tannin was determined by method Ranganna (1986) and phytic acid content by Wheeler and Ferrel (1971). In vitro protein digestibility of samples was carried out using enzymatic method of Mouliswar et al. (1993). Extrusion samples were assessed organoleptically by the ranking test according to the procedure described by Ihekoronye and Ngoddy (1985). Statistical analysis of data generated was done using statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS). Means were tested by analysis of variance (ANOVA), and then means were separated using Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) according to Steel (1997). The test samples used were 100% DM, 95% DM/5% Dfb, 90% DM/10% Dfb and 85% DM/15% Dfb. The same was done for Dcp i.e 95% DM/5% Dcp, 90% DM/10% Dcp and 85% DM/15%Dcp

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:** Table (1) showed the results of proximate composition of control (maize flour), that was blended with 5,10 and 15% decorticated faba bean and cow pea flours. Incorporation of dfb and dcp caused significant increase in protein content. This positive effect is attributed to the faba bean and cow pea which are rich in protein content. A range of 28.0 - 32.9% in faba bean was reported by Carnovale et al. (1988) and 24.13% in cow pea (Olalekan and Bosede, 2010). Table (2) confirmed the tannins, phytic acid and in vitro protein digestibility of decorticated maize, faba bean and cow pea and decorticated blends from dm

with different ratios of dfb and dcp.

(DM/Dfb/Dcp)%	Moisture	Ash content	Crude	Fat	Crude fibre	Carbohydrates	Energy
	content (%)	(%)	protein (%)	content	(%)	(%)	(kj/100g)
				(%)			
100/0/0	$6.52 \pm 002^{b}$	1.25±0.01 <sup>c</sup>	11.03±0.15 <sup>e</sup>	$3.98 \pm 0.02^{a}$	$0.81 \pm 0.01^{b}$	76.41±0.21 <sup>a</sup>	385.58±0.18
Extruded	$11.35 \pm 0.06^{a}$	1.26±0.03 <sup>c</sup>	$12.41 \pm 0.11^{bc}$	$4.85 \pm 0.04^{a}$	$0.92 \pm 0.03^{b}$	69.21±0.23ª	370.13±0.19 <sup>a</sup>
0/100/0	$6.65 \pm 0.03^{ab}$	$2.60 \pm 0.05^{a}$	$29.60 \pm 0.15^{a}$	$3.96 \pm 0.04^{a}$	$1.93 \pm 0.03^{a}$	55.26±0.23 <sup>h</sup>	$375.08 \pm 0.23^{f}$
95/5/0	$6.64 \pm 0.3^{ab}$	$1.57 \pm 0.02^{bc}$	$13.43 \pm 0.13$ <sup>cd</sup>	$3.96 \pm 0.01^{a}$	$1.09 \pm 0.02^{ab}$	73.31±0.20 <sup>c</sup>	382.60±0.19 <sup>c</sup>
Extrude	$11.34 \pm 0.12^{a}$	$1.57 \pm 0.04^{b}$	$13.86 \pm 0.12^{b}$	$4.68 \pm 0.03^{a}$	$1.09 \pm 0.03$ ab	67.64±0.25°	$367.40 \pm 0.80^{b}$
90/10/0	$6.53 \pm 0.03^{b}$	$1.83 \pm 0.03^{b}$	14.64±0.11 <sup>c</sup>	$3.97 \pm 0.03^{a}$	$1.25 \pm 0.03^{ab}$	$71.78 \pm 0.18^{e}$	381.41±0.17 <sup>d</sup>
Extruded	$11.34 \pm 0.12$	$1.83 \pm 0.02^{ab}$	$14.85 \pm 0.13^{ab}$	$4.73 \pm 0.01^{a}$	$1.25 \pm 0.06^{ab}$	$66.00 \pm 0.08^{d}$	$365.97 \pm 0.98^{d}$
85/15/0	$6.69 \pm 0.01$ ab	$1.92 \pm 0.04^{b}$	15.89±0.15 <sup>c</sup>	$3.97 \pm 0.02^{a}$	$1.74 \pm 0.04^{a}$	$69.79 \pm 0.16^{f}$	378.45±0.22 <sup>e</sup>
Extruded	$11.39 \pm 0.11^{a}$	$1.92 \pm 0.05^{a}$	$15.96 \pm 0.15^{a}$	$4.96 \pm 0.02^{a}$	$1.74 \pm 0.04^{a}$	$64.03 \pm 0.26^{f}$	$364.60 \pm 0.72^{e}$
0/0/100	$7.18 \pm 0.7^{a}$	$2.03 \pm 0.05^{b}$	24.06±0.13 <sup>b</sup>	$3.99 \pm 0.08^{a}$	$1.90 \pm 0.03^{a}$	$60.84 \pm 0.28$ <sup>g</sup>	$375.51 \pm 90^{f}$
95/0/5	$6.91 \pm 0.06^{a}$	1.36±0.05°	$12.38 \pm 0.12^{d}$	$3.99 \pm 0.01^{a}$	$0.95 \pm 0.00^{b}$	$74.41 \pm 0.25^{b}$	$383.07 \pm 0.23^{b}$
Extruded	$11.42 \pm 0.16^{a}$	$1.33 \pm 0.01^{bc}$	$12.77 \pm 0.10^{bc}$	$4.35 \pm 0.02^{a}$	$1.21 \pm 0.01^{ab}$	68.92±0.15 <sup>b</sup>	$365.91 \pm 0.20^{d}$
90/0/10	$6.91 \pm 0.04^{a}$	$1.62 \pm 0.03^{bc}$	$13.56 \pm 0.14$ <sup>cd</sup>	$3.97 \pm 0.05^{a}$	$1.12 \pm 0.01^{ab}$	$72.82 \pm 0.16^{d}$	$381.25 \pm 0.18^{d}$
Extruded	$11.43 \pm 0.14^{a}$	$1.59 \pm 0.02^{b}$	$13.61 \pm 0.11^{b}$	$4.79 \pm 0.02^{a}$	$1.34 \pm 0.01^{ab}$	67.24±0.56 <sup>c</sup>	366.51±0.11 <sup>c</sup>
85/0/15	$6.91 \pm 0.08^{a}$	$1.57 \pm 0.01^{bc}$	$14.44 \pm 0.11^{cd}$	$3.98 \pm 0.04^{a}$	$1.76 \pm 0.05^{a}$	$71.34 \pm 0.12^{e}$	$378.94 \pm 0.14^{e}$
Extruded	$11.47 \pm 0.18^{a}$	$1.69 \pm 0.07$ ab	$14.87 \pm 0.14^{ab}$	$4.86 \pm 0.03^{a}$	$1.65 \pm 0.03^{a}$	65.46±0.22 <sup>e</sup>	$365.06 \pm 0.48^{d}$
Lsd0.05	$0.379^{*}$	$0.460^{*}$	$1.271^{**}$	0.049 <sup>NS</sup>	$0.762^{*}$	0.769**	0.824**
SE±	0.134	0.153	0.425	0.016	0.247	0.256	0.275

Tannins content and phytic acid in dfb and dcp are higher than content in dm. The results of Phytic acid in this test blends are higher than that reported by Sreerama *et al.* (2012) result which was 140 mg/100gm in cow pea flour. The minerals content (mg/100g) of decorticated maize, faba bean and cow pea and decorticated blends from dm with different ratios of dfb and dcp was observed in table 3. From these results, it can be observed that minerals increased with increasing of dfb and dcp. Addition of dfb in the ratios 5, 10 and 15% caused significant increase in protein content 13.86, 14.85 and 15.96%, respectively (table 3). The corresponding results for dcp products were lower 12.77, 13.61 Table 2: Tannins, phytic acid and protein digestibility of unmachined Dm, Dfb and Dcp samples and extruded test products

and 14.87 for 5, 10 and 15%, respectively. This is due to the protein content in raw dfb (29.60%) was higher than the protein content in raw dcp (24.06%). Energy value of extruded products of decorticated faba bean and decorticated cow pea are lower than results of the blends (table 1). Table (2) showed the tannins content, phytic acid and protein digestibility of extruded products from dm with different ratios of dfb and dcp of extruded products. In the control tanin was 217.73 mg/100g, and for 5,10 and 15% of dfb products were 222.00, 225.57 and 230.24 mg/100g, and 227.68,230.70 and 233.00 mg/100g for dcp products. These results of tannins are lower than results of the blends (table 2).

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline $(mg/100g) \\\hline $100/0/0$ & $366.72\pm0.00^g$ & $185.06\pm1.54^i$ & $66.01\pm0.61^d$ \\\hline $Extruded$ & $217.73\pm2.60^f$ & $152.97\pm0.69^f$ & $62.16\pm0.55^e$ \\\hline $0/100/0$ & $445.12\pm0.00^a$ & $221.32\pm4.00^b$ & $78.76\pm1.08^a$ \end{tabular}$			
100/0/0366.72±0.00g185.06±1.54i66.01±0.61dExtruded217.73±2.60f152.97±0.69f62.16±0.55e0/100/0445.12±0.00a221.32±4.00b78.76±1.08a			
Extruded217.73±2.60f152.97±0.69f62.16±0.55e0/100/0445.12±0.00a221.32±4.00b78.76±1.08a			
0/100/0 445.12±0.00 <sup>a</sup> 221.32±4.00 <sup>b</sup> 78.76±1.08 <sup>a</sup>			
95/5/0 393.34±0.00 <sup>e</sup> 202.47±2.36 <sup>f</sup> 64.70±0.98 <sup>f</sup>			
Extruded 222.00±0.95 <sup>e</sup> 174.30±1.51 <sup>d</sup> 64.25±0.38 <sup>d</sup>	4.25±0.38 <sup>d</sup>		
90/10/0 402.00±0.00 <sup>d</sup> 214.04±3.15 <sup>e</sup> 67.11±1.41 <sup>c</sup>			
Extruded 225.57±1.18 <sup>d</sup> 188.33±0.68 <sup>b</sup> 66.64±0.46 <sup>b</sup>			
$85/15/0$ $415.32\pm0.00^{b}$ $229.35\pm1.52^{a}$ $66.15\pm3.03^{d}$			
Extruded 230.24±0.63 <sup>b</sup> 196.01±1.23 <sup>a</sup> 67.00±0.39 <sup>a</sup>			
0/0/100 410.14±0.01 <sup>c</sup> 207.81±5.22 <sup>e</sup> 72.56±0.98 <sup>b</sup>			
95/0/5 355.74±0.00 <sup>i</sup> 198.47±0.82 <sup>h</sup> 62.32±1.00 <sup>g</sup>			
Extruded 227.68±0.57 <sup>c</sup> 167.20±1.41 <sup>e</sup> 62.98±0.45 <sup>e</sup>			
$90/0/10$ $364.73\pm0.00^{h}$ $201.89\pm1.41^{g}$ $63.95\pm0.42^{h}$			
Extruded 230.70±0.44 <sup>b</sup> 177.04±1.24 <sup>c</sup> 65.00±0.66 <sup>c</sup>	65.00±0.66 <sup>c</sup>		
85/0/15 379.71±0.00 <sup>f</sup> 212.88±2.61 <sup>d</sup> 65.17±0.40 <sup>e</sup>	$65.17 \pm 0.40^{e}$		
Extruded 233.00±0.51 <sup>a</sup> 188.01±0.99 <sup>b</sup> 66.80±0.57 <sup>b</sup>	66.80±0.57 <sup>b</sup>		
$Lsd_{0.05}$ 1.938** 1.007** 0.874**			
SE± 0.646 0.336 0.291	0.291		
Table 3: Mineral content of unmachined Dm, Dfb and Dcp samples and extruded test products			
(Dm/Dfb/Dcp)% Ca K P Na Fe M	Иg		
$100/0/0    22.64 \pm 0.02^{h}    282.52 \pm 0.61^{i}    233.37 \pm 0.02^{i}    16.98 \pm 0.01^{f}    5.88 \pm 0.06^{a}    273.65 \pm 0.06^{a}    $	0.57 <sup>i</sup>		
Extruded $23.66 \pm 1.93^{\text{g}}$ $282.70 \pm 0.59^{\text{c}}$ $235.96 \pm 3.54^{\text{g}}$ $17.00 \pm 0.08^{\text{d}}$ $5.89 \pm 0.08^{\text{a}}$ $273.35 \pm 0.08^{\text{c}}$	3.90 <sup>g</sup>		
$0/100/0 \qquad \qquad 83.44 \pm 0.20^{a} \qquad 420.41 \pm 58.85^{b} \qquad 315.35 \pm 2.05^{c} \qquad 27.35 \pm 0.06^{a} \qquad 6.44 \pm 0.13^{a} \qquad 585.68 \pm 0.06^{a} \qquad 6.44 \pm 0.01^{a} \qquad 585.68 \pm 0.06^{a} \qquad 585.68 \pm $	4.02 <sup>a</sup>		
$95/5/0    56.66 \pm 0.03^{d}    292.05 \pm 0.57^{h}    240.07 \pm 0.58^{h}    18.61 \pm 0.02^{e}    5.93 \pm 0.02a    482.41 \pm 0$	0.60 <sup>d</sup>		
Extruded 57.00±3.11 <sup>c</sup> 292.19±0.63 <sup>g</sup> 241.94±1.09 <sup>f</sup> 18.96±0.16 <sup>c</sup> 5.98±0.15 <sup>a</sup> 483.88±	0.87 <sup>c</sup>		
$90/10/0   59.45 \pm 0.02^{c}   303.84 \pm 1.71^{g}   260.33 \pm 0.59^{g}   19.68 \pm 0.01^{d}   5.96 \pm 0.01^{a}   522.19 \pm 0.01^{d}   50.00^{d}   50.00^{d} $	0.58 <sup>c</sup>		
Extruded 59.69±2.35 <sup>b</sup> 304.06±1.11 <sup>f</sup> 262.85±0.58 <sup>e</sup> 19.91±0.25 <sup>b</sup> 6.04±0.12 <sup>a</sup> 523.48±	0.66 <sup>b</sup>		
$85/15/0 \qquad \qquad 61.40 \pm 0.56^{\text{b}} \qquad 325.09 \pm 1.19^{\text{f}} \qquad 279.28 \pm 1.18^{\text{f}} \qquad 20.78 \pm 0.01^{\text{c}} \qquad 5.99 \pm 0.01^{\text{a}} \qquad 555.38 \pm 1.18^{\text{f}} \qquad 555.38^{\text{f}} \qquad 555$	0.56 <sup>b</sup>		
Extruded 61.94±2.34 <sup>a</sup> 325.80±0.58 <sup>e</sup> 280.04±0.66 <sup>d</sup> 20.97±0.13 <sup>a</sup> 6.13±0.14 <sup>a</sup> 556.38±	<b>0.73</b> <sup>a</sup>		
$0/0/100   59.53 \pm 0.24^c   574.59 \pm 2.66^a   420.44 \pm 0.78^a   22.70 \pm 0.20^b   6.36 \pm 0.11^a   423.71 \pm 0.20^b   6.36 \pm 0.20^b   $	19.62 <sup>e</sup>		
$95/0/5    36.40 \pm 0.53^{g}    362.44 \pm 0.42^{e}    298.38 \pm 0.40^{e}    15.99 \pm 0.01^{g}    5.91 \pm 0.01^{a}    377.14 \pm 0.01^{a}    3$	1.02 <sup>b</sup>		
Extruded 36.84±1.97 <sup>f</sup> 362.98±0.63 <sup>d</sup> 299.98±0.53 <sup>c</sup> 16.60±0.16 <sup>e</sup> 5.96±0.10 <sup>a</sup> 378.14±	0.58 <sup>f</sup>		
90/0/10 37.79±0.19 <sup>f</sup> 383.93±0.10 <sup>d</sup> 308.45±0.40 <sup>d</sup> 16.07±0.05 <sup>f</sup> 5.93±0.01 <sup>a</sup> 392.22±	0.20 <sup>g</sup>		
Extruded 37.90±0.81 <sup>e</sup> 384.00±0.45 <sup>b</sup> 309.10±0.71 <sup>b</sup> 17.07±0.19 <sup>d</sup> 5.99±0.13 <sup>a</sup> 393.14±	1.08 <sup>e</sup>		
$85/0/15 \qquad \qquad 39.41 \pm 0.44^{e} \qquad 402.51 \pm 0.48^{c} \qquad 321.44 \pm 0.46^{b} \qquad 16.14 \pm 0.01^{f} \qquad 5.95 \pm 0.01^{a} \qquad 403.20 \pm 0.01^{c} \qquad 403.20 \pm 0.01^{c$	0.30 <sup>f</sup>		
Extruded $39.804 \pm 1.01^{d}$ $403.61 \pm 0.36^{a}$ $322.41 \pm 1.02^{a}$ $17.48 \pm 0.22^{d}$ $6.05 \pm 0.15^{a}$ $404.24 \pm 0.24^{d}$	1.25 <sup>d</sup>		
Lsd <sub>0.05</sub> $0.629^{**}$ $1.325^{**}$ $1.497^{**}$ $0.638^{**}$ $0.571^{NS}$ $1.243^{**}$			
SE± 0.210 0.442 0.498 0.183 0.01826 0.414			

Extrusion cooking caused a significant reduction in tannins content in the control compared to blends. Alonso et al. (2000) found a significant reduction in tannins and phytic acid in extrusion cooking products. The phytic acid content was 152.97 mg/100gm for extruded control product and from 174.30 - 196.01 mg/100g in decorticated faba bean products (dfb). Phytic acid content in decorticated cow pea products (dcp) was from 167.20 - 188.01 mg/100g. These results agree with Alonso et al. (2000), results. Extrusion cooking has enhanced in vitro protein digestibility, results presented in table (2). Table (3) showed the mineral content (mg/100g) of extruded products from dm with different ratios of dfb and dcp. Increasing levels of dfb and dcp in extruded products showed increase in mineral content than the blends. Table (4) shows the contents of amino acids (mg/100g) for extruded products in mg/100 g. All amino acids were increased in fortification with 5,10 and 15% decorticated faba bean and decorticated cow pea flour than the control, especially lysine. It was increased from 7.135 mg/100 g in control to 38.420 mg/100 g for 15% decorticated faba bean flour products: and from 16.195 to 54.175 mg/100 g for 5 and 15% decorticated cow pea flour. Therionine, leucine and isoleucine were increased in extruded Table 4: Amino acids in extruded test products

products compared to control. Lysine-deficiency is considered as a major nutritional problem in maize protein, this problem will be solved either through direct addition of lysine or supplementation by legumes or using high lysine corn (Jansen, 1970). The effect of additional decorticated faba bean and cow pea flours on extruded product samples volume is shown in table (5). The addition of decorticated faba bean and cow pea up to 15% significantly  $(P \le 0.05)$  decreased the volume of samples compared to the control. The volume increased when adding 10% decorticated faba bean and cow pea flours. Singh et al. (2005) claimed that the expansion ratio of extrudates decreased with increase in the level of pea grits in feed material. Results of sensory evaluation of extruded products containing decorticated faba bean flour and decorticated cow pea flour are presented in table (6). The results showed significant (P≤0.05) differences among the products containing decorticated faba bean flour and cow pea flour in color, flavor, taste and crispness, and non significant differences in color with 10% decorticated faba bean flour and decorticated cow pea flour. General acceptability showed significant differences between all samples and the best one was that supplemented by 5% decorticated cow pea flour products (figure 1).

Amino acid	100% decorticated	Maize + decorticated faba bean%			Maize + decorticated cow pea%		
	maize	95+5	90+10	85+15	95+5	90+10	85+15
Aspartic acid	187.602	226.757	409.098	922.326	235.951	559.276	630.098
Thereonine	26.887	41.595	80.147	119.626	36.359	104.017	143.265
Serine	72.270	116.029	116.335	181.474	68.236	195.224	207.283
Glutamic acid	167.488	185.918	208.986	372.620	49.991	72.948	355.960
Glycine	29.086	30.011	31.235	38.333	42.993	44.286	-
Alanine	1919.336	1208.432	1236.012	2204.025	1190.113	1609.431	2051.679
Cystine	181.136	217.125	-	404.603	141.528	307.326	364.083
Valine	792.759	1054.713	1587.248	1857.571	1066.766	1139.266	1788.522
Methionine	13.933	19.670	27.329	36.785	14.375	36.073	95.367
Isoleucine	341.230	355.488	529.646	649.178	412.936	414.982	526.635
Leucine	798.444	819.255	1305.484	1648.323	814.572	1280.173	1338.150
Tyrosine	32.193	48.875	76.478	92.638	16.281	28.241	53.155
Phendalanine	122.101	136.112	265.564	268.266	152.866	299.652	320.035
Histidine	56.013	84.268	121.142	186.755	64.659	160.189	188.145
Lysine	7.135	15.402	35.414	38.420	16.195	30.702	54.175
Ammonia	1373.344	1513.042	1551.295	1883.780	1182.425	1781.913	1796.983
Arginine	134.387	207.896	522.348	598.256	216.842	264.096	590.653
Table 5: Volume of extruded test products							
(Dm/Dfb/Dcp)%			Volu	me (cc <sup>3</sup> )			
100/0/0		210.00±10.25 <sup>d</sup>					

-					 	
	(Dm	/Dfb	/Dcp)%	)		

(Dm/Dfb/Dcp)%	Volume (cc <sup>3</sup> )
100/0/0	$210.00 \pm 10.25^{d}$
95/5/0	230.00±5.37ª
90/10/0	220.007±11.16 <sup>b</sup>
85/15/0	$190.00\pm 5.98^{e}$
95/0/5	220.67±2.25 <sup>b</sup>
90/0/10	215.67±3.72°
85/0/15	$185.00 \pm 4.04^{f}$
Lsd0.05	4.306**
SE±	1.235

Table 6: Quality attribute of extruded test products

(Dm/Dfb/Dcp)%		Quality attributes						
	Color	Flavor	Taste	Crispiness	General acceptability			
			Scores					
100/0/0	7.50±0.15a	6.60±0.13a	6.65±0.11a	6.00±0.14c	6.35±0.06ab			
95/5/0	7.55±0.13a	5.95±0.14c	$5.90 \pm 0.12b$	6.25±0.13ab	6.55±0.11a			
90/10/0	5.05±0.10d	4.65±0.12d	5.05±0.13d	5.95±0.11d	6.25±0.16ab			
85/15/0	$3.25 \pm 0.11 f$	3.80±0.15e	4.00±0.15e	5.00±0.12e	3.85±0.14d			
95/0/5	7.25±0.12ab	6.00±0.11b	6.40±0.10a	6.60±0.07a	6.10±0.10b			
90/0/10	6.00±0.09c	5.70±0.12c	5.55±0.16c	6.05±0.15bc	6.00±0.05b			
85/0/15	4.15±0.08e	4.75±0.09d	5.15±0.14a	6.05±0.13bc	4.95±0.09c			
Lsd0.05	0.239*	0.518*	0.347*	0.416*	0.292*			
SE±	0.078	0.174	0.116	0.139	0.065			
	$\bigcirc \bigcirc$				00			

Figure 1: Extruded products from Dm/Dfb/Dcp

**CONCLUSION:** Supplementation of decorticated maize flour with decorticated faba bean flour and cow pea flour has improved the nutritional value of blend flours and extruded products. Values of limiting essential amino acids have been improved in extruded products supplemented with 5 – 15% decorticated faba bean and cow pea flours and maize flour with 5% decorticated faba bean and 5% cow pea flours gave extruded product with the highest volume (expansion ratio). The highest results in general acceptability results were found to be in 5% decorticated faba bean in extruded products, extruded products were better in all nutritional value and lower in antinutritional value than blends, extruded from maize and are suitable for celiac disease patient's.

**CONFLICT OF INTEREST:** The Authors declare that the study was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

- **REFERENCES:** Alonso, R., A. Aguirre and F. Marzo, 2000. Effects of extrusion and traditional processing methods on antinutrients and in vitro digestibility of protein and starch in faba and kidney beans. Food Chemistry, 68(2): 159-165.
- Carnovale, E., E. Lugaro and G. Lombardi-Boccia, 1988. Phytic acid in faba bean and pea: Effect on protein availability. Cereal chemistry, 65(2): 114-117.
- Eastman, J., F. Orthoefer and S. Solorio, 2001. Using extrusion to create breakfast cereal products. Cereal foods world, 46(10): 468-471.
- Egal, A. and W. Oldewage-Theron, 2020. Extruded food products and their potential impact on food and nutrition security. South african journal of clinical nutrition, 33(4): 142-143.
- Guo, P., J. Yu, L. Copeland, S. Wang and S. Wang, 2018. Mechanisms of starch gelatinization during heating of wheat flour and its effect on in vitro starch digestibility. Food hydrocolloids, 82: 370-378.

Ihekoronye, A. I. and P. O. Ngoddy, 1985. Integrated food science and technology for the tropics. Macmillan.

Jansen, G., 1970. Amino-acid supplementation and the world food problem. In: Evaluation of novel protein products. Elsevier: pp: 105-114.

- Lazou, A., P. Michailidis, S. Thymi, M. Krokida and G. Bisharat, 2007. Structural properties of corn-legume based extrudates as a function of processing conditions and raw material characteristics. International journal of food properties, 10(4): 721-738.
- Mouliswar, P., K. Soma, V. Daniel, N. Malleshi and S. Venkat Rao, 1993. In vitro digestibility of protein and starch of energy food and its bulk reduction. Journal of food science technology, 30(1): 36-39.
- Mounika, B., D. S. Suchiritha, M. K. Uma, V. Hemalatha and T. Supraja, 2017. Physical, proximate and nutritional composition of cookies incorporated with germinated brown rice. The pharma innovation, 6(7, Part G): 958.
- Olalekan, A. J. and B. F. Bosede, 2010. Comparative study on chemical composition and functional properties of three nigerian legumes (jack beans, pigeon pea and cowpea). Journal of emerging trends in engineering applied sciences, 1(1): 89-95.
- Ranganna, S., 1986. Handbook of analysis and quality control for fruit and vegetable products. Tata McGraw-Hill Education.
- Rokey, G., 1994. Petfood and fishfood extrusion. In: The technology of extrusion cooking. Springer: pp: 144-189.
- Singh, N., K. Sandhu and M. Kaur, 2005. Physicochemical properties including granular morphology, amylose content, swelling and solubility, thermal and pasting properties of starches from normal, waxy, high amylose and sugary corn. Progress in food biopolymer research, 1(2): 43-55.
- Sreerama, Y. N., V. B. Sashikala, V. M. Pratape and V. J. F. C. Singh, 2012. Nutrients and antinutrients in cowpea and horse gram flours in comparison to chickpea flour: Evaluation of their flour functionality. 131(2): 462-468.
- Steel, R., 1997. Analysis of variance ii: Multiway classifications. Principles, procedures of statistics: A biometrical approach: 204-252.
- Wheeler, E. and R. Ferrel, 1971. A method for phytic acid determination in wheat and wheat fractions. Cereal chemistry, 48(3): 312-320.

Except where otherwise noted, this item's licence is described as © **The Author(s) 2023**. Open Access. This item is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License</u>, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the <u>Creative Commons license</u>, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this it are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.